

SCAPA FLOW 10

This is the last issue of **...AND ON GREEN!** for *SCAPA FLOW*, at least typeset. Watch for a new title with cheaper repro in the next *SF* and all my lower-than-50 copy-count APAs. And now the final catch-up (more or less).

SCAPA FLOW 7 [END]

Charles Burpee rae bnc. Oh yes, the explanations are funnier than the cover.

Bacover Plug. But I signed up. **Second Section Cover** Art games but competent.

Betty Knight "The trouble with your private space program is, Where [sic] is the money coming from?" Unintentional humour, Betty? Where do all government funds come from? That same "private" sector you consider bankrupt already. Or are you saying we can only get to space by stealing (taxing)? On the other hand "swiping gov't space ships" is not stealing (or swiping); how can one "steal" loot? ¶ (Ct Jeff) If Kornbluth is an influence on you, what did you think of his strongly libertarian *Syndic*? ¶ (Ct Dan) What is your view of a "typical Republican"? Describe him as you would a character in a story; I'm sure Dan may retaliate with the "typical Democrat." (As for me, I've never seen a "typical" anything.)

Me So long ago.

Mike Shupp Is it also a tradition to leave out second pages? ¶ (Ct Lee) If the goal of New Wave was to evoke disgust with the author's betrayal of SF's heroic sense of life, it "wor nique and style are irrelevant to the New Wave/Old Wave controversy; it remains one of values. ¶ You're the first andy heard call Hammett a Hemingway disciple. Hammett towered over that ball-less pygmy. ¶ (Ct me) Thanks for loving my repro, but prepare yourself for heart-break next disty. ¶ (Ct Arthur) "Non-interventionism in foreign policy is morally objectionable." You certainly laid it on the line, Adolph. And what problems? Should people *sell* anything they want? Should they *write* anything they want, *say* anything they want, *think* anything they want? Damn right anything

goes... except for forcible *intervention*, i.e., aggression. I can see self-consistency in your call for intervention (attack all your citizens for taxes and conscripts, then all your neighboring States for not being *your* State) but I'll be damned if you drag *me* down with your death-wish and murder-lust. And why should people eating chicken soup or LSD worry about rocks being thrown at them? Oh yes, because the Shupps of the world may attack them at any minute. ¶ (Ct Laurie) On top of all the above, you would sign a contract with intent to defraud because it may be legally unenforceable? No one will call you Libertarian... or *tanj*. Morbid curiosity: where did you learn ethics? (**shudder**) Oh, thanks at least for warning me in advance. ¶ (Ct me) Why worry about drinking tastes at this point? ¶ Ah, at last we come to grips. I thoroughly disagree with Rand; if her ethics can't hack a lifeboat, jettison them! Even so, if our Society is already a lifeboat, how do you handle an escalation of crisis? ¶ Or maybe you should be joining me in that tone. Seriously, wouldn't it be wonderful if we were all mated and reproduced at 15, finished with the kids around 30, and then enjoyed the next 70 years of our lives, completed? ¶ (Ct Jeff) On raising kids: surprisingly, I agree completely with you. ¶ (Ct Laura) "...fen are not the movers and shakers of the world." The ones I know are. ¶ (Ct Dan) Aha! A *Trad!* **ptui** Remember St. Louis '69!

David Schlosser Jumping ship? Not till you're keelhailed, mate! ¶ (Ct me) You're correct; I heard this nowhere else. Thanks for the info; maybe you could find out *which* kink...er, let me rephrase that, why I was considered especially kinky? I guess I should be glad to be remembered. ¶ Good point on natural selection and free choice of reproduction. Here's what worries me: suppose someone of value (to me, at least) is marginal about reproduction and my additional argument would sway them over. Dare I remain silent? ¶ But if you keep adopt-

ing my heresies as orthodoxy, eventually (1) they will begin to wonder about *you* or (2) they may think I'd be the better pope (more original). Be warned. Latest heresy: The Ghoddess is Trinitarian: the Mother, the Daughter, and the Holy Voyeuse. (Thus, intercourse—spiritual, of course!—involves a mother-daughter team and you get watched, too!) How's *that* for a heresy?

A. Trimpi No comments? ¶ Story double-spaced so I assume it was typed for submission. How is it doing so far? (My last one bombed the whole circuit.)

Keith Williams I've been rae bnc-ed? To the Field of Honour, sir! ¶ Ah, (ct me) later. I didn't see you at Loscon. How about Westercon? I'll keep an eye out for your nametag. You can't miss mine. ¶ Er, hate to dash the cold water and all that, but "dinosaur appearing in major intersection" has been done. On the other hand, your story shows promise. Good plot, writing needs only fine tuning. I don't know who's buying this stuff right now; maybe you can break into comic scripting?

Jerry S. Moore Rae Bnc.

Mike Brewerloy O.K., so how do you type stars without a 'setter'?

Jeff Copeland So don't listen.

Clint Hyde Good Jerry Collins art. Keep that guy around. ¶ Agree on *Time After Time*.

Seth Goldberg Missed you at Loscon somehow. Westercon?

Paul King (Ct me) Thanks for pointing out the subsonics in *Alien*. If I ever see it again (not willingly!), I'll listen for them.

Tom Beck I'd rae bnc you but... how *dare* you besmirch *Gable and Lombard*? Jill Clayburgh's great debut (and turned me on to old Carole Lombard movies)! See first, then knock.

H.J.N. Andruschak Reserve a copy of *SotM*, Andy. (**sotm iz me**)

Arthur D. Hlavaty Belsky's been giving me pronunciation lessons on your name. I still say the accent's on the wrong syllable. English words are antipenultimate so foreign names should be counterantipenultimate. ¶ I could sing "Song of the Derriere Garde" straight save for two points: (1) couldn't care less

about Trek fandom, porn subset or otherwise; (2) I *have* read mainstream and it's still "mundane & windy & tiresome" and has "no Sense of Wonder" and is "cheerless & glum." What's even worse are mundane writers trying to write SF (Crichton, Vidal, etc.) ¶ FAAn Awards: **yawn** Don't worry, though, you and Dick will finish first and second for fan Hugo. ¶ *Addendum*: Note that the chief critic of Harlan's politicizing Iggy (me) is also opposed to the Space Program. De-politicize Fandom! ¶ If I didn't mention it before, I'm opposed to being called a Futurian because of prior claims to the name. ¶ And thanks for the plug.

Accumulated Gunderloy I'll keep up with thisin *Fref*. (I'm already ahead of this batch.)

Space Stuff **jaded yawn**

Bacover Cute.

SCAPA FLOW 8

Cover D&D-typescriptography, Neeters?

Klaxon Bye, Danny. ¶ This disty shall be long remembered as the one SEK3 missed. (And for No Other Reason.)

Scooter "Gunderloid." I like that. May use it. I assume it means a sentient species like unto Mike? ¶ (Ct Norman) Teleporting semen for rape? Have you thought of writing a story for *Playboy* or *Hustler* books with that premise? ¶ On your dream, the funniest part was where in the middle you said, "From here on it gets weird..."

Ken De Vries I assume you're a hoax. Not funny, either.

Kay Shapero Saw you at Renaissance Pleasure Faire. Good day we picked. Forgot to ask you: what did your costume represent? ¶ As for your idea, I remain opposed firmly.

Arthur D. Hlavaty So Pghlange is still a small con. I remember when it was bigger than Baltimore (80 people, 1972). ¶ *Lesnerized* is an excellent coinage. I gather from context it's "to commit a non-existent but unpardonable social *faux pas*." ¶ GoH speeches are acceptable exceptions to avoiding the programming (unless the never-to-be-sufficiently-damned ConCom schedules them before 2 P.M.). ¶

Right on! to M.Z. Bradley's walking out on "sociological significance!" I'll compliment her at Westercon. ¶ Well put, sir, concerning Trekkies and "post-literacy."

Jerry S. Moore (Ct me) Points considered (in order): (1) Business will operate in as long a range as property is guaranteed. The threat of State confiscation shortens entrepreneurial range. Abolish the State and you will push the economic event horizon to infinity. Secondly, you ignore the source of these funds: the Market pays its own way; the State steals. (2) Agreed that the State would continue to attempt interference with Space marketing even if it didn't subsidize it. Thus in the world of dreams and illusion (where the State is seen as anything but a monster), serious problems arise. In the Real World, people ignore, evade, cheat and defy the State and Get Things Done. (See *The Man Who Sold The Moon*). (3) I, on the other hand, would go into a Statist space only to smash said State. If you're going to regulate people in Space, why would they bother going? (4) Since you don't mention the State in your "idealistic theory," go to it! (5) Of course I respect your rights; but remember, you do not have the right to tax me for your Programme nor to prevent my getting there statelessly. Otherwise, blast jets and clear ether!

Keith Williams A totally different story to the one I read yesterday, and not my genre. But I got a question for you: why stay a fan? When are you going to turn pro? You obviously have it in you.

Mike Gunderloy Gct Lea. ¶ (Ct Bob) Good to hear you're moving towards reproducing your genes. Need a godfather, let me know. (Or ghodfather.) ¶ I'll save some space and say Gcst Everybody. ¶ (Ct Dan) Love the implied logic: Libertarianism is the sum of "ways in which no one gets screwed," but since it won't work, oppose it. Riiiiight. ¶ (Ct Laurie) I think I went through your cat-feelings change myself, then realized I was not a *felinophobe* but a *felinophilophobe*. (I like cats [as individuals] but can't stand cat fanatics.) ¶ (Ct Arthur) That's all I need, a LASFAPAn bursting out of my chest while eating. (Not only that, they even eat each other!) ¶ Again, again, I say, wherein do you advocate Statism? O, non-libertarian? By the way, if you're weak on personal coercion in "tight" situations but staunchly

anti-statist, try Stirnerist libertarianism. ¶ (Ct Lee Ann but yelled to me) Guy Lillian loves me, Don Markstein loves me, I love me, . . . (complete series). Seriously, is there anybody left in LASFAPA I know and how many years would I take to get back in? ¶ (Cst me, in order) Sorry not up to your standards. *Sir!* ¶ I guess you'll avoid a wait-list as long as we avoid making SF as attractive as LASFAPA. ¶ "Nothing good can come from the State" is reasoned as follows: the State is purely coercive; therefore, anything it does results from theft, etc. and *against* some market demand. Thus, not only is any result of statism dripping with innocent blood, it also dislocates the market, failing to produce some market demand and overproducing something else. So much for circularity. Now, to realize what Statist nuclear industry is like, imagine Carthaginians tossing babies or Aztecs tossing maidens into a hearth furnace to make steel. Am I against steel to oppose Carthaginian/Aztec steel plants? All State nuke plants were produced by dislocation, especially and explicitly *insurance*. You deduce the consequences. ¶ But when I mentioned it was **obscure**, I knew you would get it. Thus you can feel elitist and superior. After all, what are friends for? ¶ We've talked in person. *Shangri L'Afairs* was produced without me anyways. **sniff** ¶ You got your NL, and another beside. However, correspondence has been dropped at the last minute. But wait until you see NLB! ¶ If "self-consistency is not one of the rules" then apply *that* to your own premise (in quotes there). ¶ Stick it where, sir? Join the car-crash set yourself, you abNormal! ¶ Agreement? Hosanna! ¶ (Ct Charles) I know several places where fen are the majority of employees. Peripherals Unlimited has 100% and nearly the entire staff plus the rest of SFALB will be running the Long Beach Con I (name to be determined) in January. For what it's worth, NLE is 100% fannish. ¶ (Ct me again) The only mistake I made was to assume that NYUSFS cared about themselves. I won't make that mistake again. ¶ (Ct Shupp) "If your rules don't allow it, perhaps you are living in a different reality..." Got you! Wherein lies these rules? (Governing *realities*, not a "reality.") Then the meta-reality you have containing the rules for "realities" (your expression) is Reality! ¶ Gc on Scotch. ¶

"Besides, I prefer to be alive, and have this feeling that if I leave the system alone, it will stay out of my life." How's it working so far? ¶ Hilarious ct Copeland. ¶ (Final c) For a Discarding Admiral, you sure run a tight ship. What are you trying to do, convince everyone anarchy works?

Laurie D.T. Mann Working on the Census? You should see how the Anarchovillage worked over our census snoops. (Yes, plural, they sent an older, tougher guy when I told the first one to "Fuck off, snoop!" I expect a SWAT team next time: "You'll never count me alive, copper!" **tear gas grenade**) ¶ (Ct Kay) You probably couldn't care less, but your statement implying Christianity is preliterate and "mass media" is misleading. Christianity was propagated and maintained by the *written* word far more than any other medium; in fact, most religions are intellectual fandoms. In Europe, *clerics* (= cleric or clerk) is synonymous with both scribe and clergy. ¶ (Ct Dennis) Guyana incident "murder?" *Au contraire*, I wish more altruists would have the courage of their convictions *a la Jim Jones and eliminate themselves from the gene pool*. ¶ Gct Dennis on short lifetimes in marriages before recent times. ¶ If you like the *Black Hole*, you and I stand alone against the world. (Along with six-year-old Sean McIntosh). ¶ (Ct Arthur) "Teachers in public schools should avoid teaching any values . . ." or anything else. Nevertheless, there is nothing *else* to be taught. Differentiating between "*science*" and "the Genesis theory of creation . . . lacks any scientific evidence" is a value judgment. The value is choosing Reason and the Scientific Method as a criterion of Judgment. I concur . . . but it's still a value judgment. Note Mike's and my long-standing debate on the unique existence of Reality. While I am *correct* in reference to any rational metaphysics (and some irrational ones), my choice is still a Value . . . as is Mike's to the contrary. Looking at it another way, Right (or Truth or Good) requires affirmation—*choice*. Ain't it wonderful? (Determinists go 'way and rain on someone else's parade.) ¶ (Ct Bill Curry's ct me) 99.999% of "criminal acts" are not crimes. "Shouldn't be" is irrelevant. ¶ (Ct me) O.K., save me (and Mike?) a can (each?) of Iron City at Noreascon to sample. Bring a six-pack! (A case?) ¶ (Ct Mike) I talk about drugs,

sex, anarchy and whatever else I like at LASFS and anywhere else (save in front of a State agent). I hadn't noticed Mike particularly hung-up on his end of the conversation. (He must hang around Pournelle too much.) ¶ I've seen your volume LASFAPAs. ¶ (Cst me) Nope. ¶ And yup. ¶ (Ct Mike S.) Have you read *Ada*? ¶ I thought you were a SMOF. (But then, I should know.) ¶ (Ct Mike G.) Er, if you think "Coors is rotten stuff" and like Iron City, forget the sample. ¶ (Ct Mike) If you think there's a high correlation between "degrees" and financial payoff, you are in for a disillusioning. ¶ (Ct Dan) Great D. Potter line!

Seth Goldberg At last! Comments from you! ¶ (Ct Laurie) Looks like you answered my question (to Mike) about how many LASFAPAns are still there from when I left. Actually, 50% is more than I expected. ¶ (Cst me) The best thing I like about Kinky Friedman is his group's name. He played the Palomino to an atrocious review in the *Times* last month. I was considering going to see him. Next time; maybe you'll be in town? As I mentioned way back in LASFAPA, my favorite country-rocker is Commander Cody (especially with his Lost Planet Airmen). ¶ On finding me at cons: I must admit I tend to travel with an identifiable crowd (**obscurity warning, everyone else!**) so think of me as a dressed propagator. Find one of my people and ask where I am and you'll get to me eventually at a Con. ¶ (Ct Mike G.) Thanks for remembering my halcyon days as a pubbing addict in LASFAPA. (No one else does, I'm sure.) ¶ (Ct me) Just goes to show how out-of-step Bay Area people (including fen) are. See *The Serial* yet? ¶ (Ct Jeff) Reciting your dissertation title: Ah, play it again, Seth.

Lee Ann Goldstein Bye. I'm sure Mike will show you a copy of this (or I'll give you an overrun copy of **AOG!**), so here's some comments anyways. ¶ (Ct Dan) Which of the many cst me was excellent? ¶ (Cst me) And I caught them. But now that I am commenting to you, you're gone. Thanks for being in this APA for a little while; at least it was no one-disty stand. (I'm not that kind of apan, you know.) ¶ "Seth and Leslie were with the other LASFAPAns?" Poor things; they missed the Con for that. Must have been charity. ¶ Oh, I'll write up my consorting with Dark Powers for APA-69 if you'll frank

it. ¶ (Ct Mike) "Is this becoming a Friendly apa?" No, Exotic. (*out-obscure*)

David Schlosser Rae bnc—and I didn't even get that!

Mike Shupp I'm way too far behind to comment on your diary save to say I read through it and you write well. To my amazement, you seem like a decent chap (or maybe this is expert fiction too?). For a Trad, of course.

Bacover I am no longer wasting comments on NASA overrun.

Second Cover Not bad at all. Collins has a very distinctive style.

Dan Deckert (Cst me) Cheap shot, sir! ¶ I accept some valid reasoning in science which is called genetics. But I don't remember saying anything about immunology or blood types. Perhaps you are confusing me with someone else, or with my (still valid) attack on "allergies." Allergies have nothing to do with immunity. Nor have I ever claimed the right to do anything on people's property, including breathing. I see our conflict has come down to outright misrepresentation. Sorry to see it degenerate. ¶ (Ct Jeff) I have noticed no "Bad Things" happening to KROQ. ¶ (Ct Dennis) Your views on Soviet intervention (and thus foreign policy in general) as opposed to mine have certainly taken a beating in Afghanistan, the U.S.S.R.'s Viet Nam. Or have you a rationalization for Soviet impotence and inability to conquer even this obscure hole, let alone NATO members? ¶ (Ct Arthur) "Compared to many people I know, I might as well be called a libertarian." Let's see, Genghis Khan, Joe Stalin, Benito Mussolini, Ted Kennedy, Ronald Reagan, . . . ¶ (Ct Lee Ann) "The whole world is black and white." is an interesting question-begging device. The "world" of moral logic is constructed on A and not-A lines; the "whole world" tends to imply you are including in irrelevancies and thus conveying a false absurdity-by-association. In other words, another cheap shot. *ka-pow* ¶ (Ct me) Gosh, that makes three of you who noticed when I couldn't get green paper. ¶ (Ct Mike) Frazer Smith, that traitor to the ROQ of the '80s? If the best KLOS can come up with are KROQ drop-outs, forget 'em. Insane Daryll Wayne is an excellent replacement for Smith. ¶ Hmm. Would you say Bruce is the David Rockefeller of fandom, then? (As in SMOF and doesn't

care who knows it.) ¶ (Ct Betty) You . . . agreed . . . with me? (*slit throat*) The point of convergence happens to be a critical one with me. Achieving our stfnal-futurist goals requires radical surgery on the State (preferably lobotomized quadrangle). And, since you're big on motivations and such, that goes a long way to explain why I ceaselessly (not tirelessly, though) combat the State in every mind I find otherwise hopeful, purposeful, forward-looking, Romantic, fannish, etc. ¶ (Ct me) How did you manage to turn a repressive purge into "coping with rejection"? No wonder I consider Psychology a snare and a delusion. ¶ (Ct Mike S.) Is an APA-Dan on the way? ¶ And I'll bet that card you boast of cost you a pretty penny . . . or a hektadollar. ¶ (Ct Keith) Your criticism is valid, though I had a more positive reaction on initial reading. ¶ (Ct Beer) I agree with Mike and disagree with you, although the real Lowenbrau (not bottled by Miller) would rate much higher. ¶ (Ct Seth) You missed him too, huh? Maybe it's because I kept seeing you and if They told you, I'd find out. *accelerate paranoia.*

Clint Hyde Great Collins art. Think he'd do anything libertarian? (I pay.) ¶ Osamu Tezuku looks like S.I. Hayakawa. ¶ (Ct Norm) On reality: we agree. ¶ (Ct me) O.K. ¶ "Feelings" aren't "what make us humans, not zombies," Reason (i.e. ratiocination) is. Lots of animals have feelings. ¶ (Ct Neeters) I must admit you have me curious to meet you. Maybe Noreascon or Denvention.

Arthur D. Hlavaty (Ct Lea) Perhaps you were being ironic, but it looked serious in context. Most people are not "a minion of the State or its allegedly capitalist allies." True, you did preface it by saying "just about everyone I know" but there are many good people in the world (or it would not be here). ¶ (Cst Bill) I'm amazed at the lack of passionate disagreement twixt you and Curry. I wonder how much your anti-theism (or anti-clericalism) is for show? Or were you being "nice"? ¶ The ribbon didn't help. ¶ (Ct Dennis) I, of course, loved Heinlein's *Number of the Beast* and am currently (as soon as I finish these comments) devouring the British hard-cover edition (definitive). ¶ Gct Laurie. ¶ (Cst me) I can't remember how bad the previous dittoes were, so there may be an improvement. But there's still lots of room for

more. ¶ You're welcome. ¶ A Welfare System that makes a profit existed before the New Deal (started by Hoover, not FDR, by the way). Basically, those who wanted to help people were presented with competitive charities and those with the most spectacular (not always positive, true) results received the most money (consumer demand). With growing philanthropic sophistication, one assumes in the long run it would tend to innovate better and faster methods for getting people on their feet again and come back for more money based on past success. State Welfare, like State policing, is based on failure. The more recipients/criminals, the more funds; hence, the incentive is to increase welfare recipients and "criminals" not rehabilitate them. ¶ (Ct Mike) You seem to be occupying a "middle ground" at least in terminology between Mike and me. Granted, it's a tight fit. ¶ (Ct me) You all don't care; I'll stop too. ¶ Gct Mike S. on "surrender," etc.

Bill Curry Congratulations on the new Curry. ¶ (Ct Scorpion) You remember 1941 Captain Marvel serials? *awe* ¶ (Ct Dennis) Gc on envy. ¶ And rotten comment on libertarianism. First off, where do you get this "utopian" label? I've read my Molnar, Voegelin *et al.*, and know it's not a valid charge. ¶ (Ct Dan) What do you think is happening in Afghanistan? ¶ The LP and Clark campaign are soft-core on foreign policy (as to be expected); they want a State military and are willing to accept "defensive" alliances. Statist pigs! ¶ (Ct me) Kerensky was not a liberal but a social democrat. Still, he not only "blunted" the Revolution in Russia but set it spinning into counter-revolution (Lenin and the Bolsheviks). The Revolutionaries (Makhno *et al.*) held out for some years thereafter in the Ukraine and Kronstadt, but Moscow and Petrograd were lost. ¶ A secular humanist is one who puts man qua humanism in the center of his philosophy, rather than existence/non-contradiction and reason. Some humanists (like the FEE Christians) are somewhat of an improvement. ¶ Far be it for me to tell you what to do about religious observance, but if you also attend every Sunday, couldn't you spare one Wednesday a month for a meeting I'm sure your God would approve of? Let's face it, whatever day we pick will give somebody a con-

flict. Sorry it's you. ¶ If I'm so "simplistic" about the causes of "public" non-"education," let's see what you consider complexity. I'll stick to Occam myself. ¶ (Ct Neeters) Have you read Lewis' *Experiment In Criticism*? There is a subjective factor to criticism, but objective things can still be analyzed. ¶ (Ct Betty) Welcome to the Free Market/Space caucus!

Lea M. Day Life for Imperialism's occupying forces (dupes) seems nasty, brutish and short. Come back soon. ¶ Gct Bill. Let the State pay for your babies and they'll determine how many you can have. ¶ (Ct Dennis) You're not sworn to protect any people; you protect the U.S. State which some of us consider the enemy of society. Maybe that's why there are so many "ingrates." ¶ (Ct Gillie) I find most men can separate love from sex and want both; not as often the women, though. (Maybe I attract the Wrong Kind.)

Bill Bridget So if you don't read the mailings, why should we read you?

Beverly Kanter Still no comments? See remark to Bill above.

Neeters Glad you're back but . . . are you ignoring me?

Paul King Gct Lea, and to Bill C. ¶ And to Dennis on education. ¶ (Ct me) Er, thanks. But soon, no more "Great Repro." Alas. ¶ *The Probability Broach* is superb. The style is very Heinlein-influenced; or is the deviation from that what you didn't like?

Jeff Copeland Bnc.

Dennis Fischer Nice illo. ¶ (Ct Bill) I don't think fandom's split with Doug Wright is a feud. A feud is usually considered to occur between two of the same types. Wright is no fan. ¶ (Ct Dan) May the Overlords of Delgon warm up on your psyche. ¶ (Ct me) Harlan can not only move over but down under. ¶ So you got the obscurity two; feel superior. ¶ Belmont Shore? We often go to Hamburger Henry's for late, late dinners. ¶ Is the Bear Catholic? ¶ Gct Mike's ct me. ¶ Your recipes, if meant to be readable, weren't.

Eddie Anderson Funny, I joined SF for a nice, small, friendly APA. You should see LASFAPA. Goodbye.

A. Trimpi So leave us hanging!

Accumulated Mike Still ahead of this point in *Fref* comments.

De Prof No comment.

Noreascon II At last! I'm registering this very week!

Bacover _____