

CLEAR ETHER!

Commentzine of Samuel Edward Konkin III for APA-NYU. Address all correspondence to New Libertarian Enterprises, Box 1748, Long Beach, CA 90801; Personal: SEK3.

Volume 5, Number 4

November 1982

DeFAFIation II

Seeing a near-total lack of interest as to why I fafiated for nearly two years, I shall gratefully not belabor the point. The appearance of this second zine so close to the last one should signify a serious return. Monthly appearances are *not* guaranteed; I don't expect the solvency level to withstand sudden surprise expenditures and keep pubbing continuously and smoothly until next Worldcon.

And I expect to see nearly all of you at collation in Constellation. At Chicon it was once again a high point of Worldcon; it even made it on the official programme.

Come, Let Us Babble Together

Undoubtedly, you're all waiting to see if Ye Olde Founder of This Thing We Are In will actually catchup on comments for over two years of back collations. Consider yourself kept in suspense.

It occurs to me that **rac bnc** (for the neos: read and enjoyed but no comment) is both euphemistic and self-contradictory. If the zine was enjoyed, how come it didn't spark comment? Sure, I've used it but as long as I've had an hiatus to consider, let's get off to a fresh start.

The following comments will use an abbreviation or fannism for a phrase I consider far more honest and precise: read and became aware; this is [the] comment = **rabatic**. If you don't like the implicit *the*, you can translate it "this is commenting."

The comment claiming not to be one is now eliminated. Enjoyment is no longer guaranteed. Notice is. The zine was noticed, impinged upon my consciousness, possibly affected me in ways I've yet to notice, but the only response I have at this time is acknowledgement.

So, in the comments to follow, prepare to be **rabatic**-ed off.

Oh, and I get ticked off myself at people who don't give comments and expect to get them. So I've developed a new abbreviation for them; after all, they

aren't worth my time to really respond in detail anyway. So, in the words of the Prophet, no comments out, no comments in = **nco nci**. Sort of looks like "not nice," don't it?

★ EN GARDE AT THE RIPOSTE OFFICE ★

I have this intestinal information originary source (gut feeling) that it is more important not to fall behind again rather than start from way behind and catch up. So I'm commenting current and *then* dipping back to catch up. Also, since Marc Has not yet sent the 1981-82 *APA-NYUs* to me [they arrived close to press time], I only have the September collation [and the October if I have time to start on it] and those of years ago so this seems most immediately practical.

Enough prefatory natter and fannish metalogic. Let's drop our point size (**shriek* *gasp**) and get a-commentin'!

APA-NYU 88

Cover Patternalia, Harper?

ToC Where did everybody go? Most of the names I recognize were still not in 'nu last I looked. **nostalgic sniff** ¶ As many of you know, economics dictates I offset 100 copies at a time so feel free to raise the copy count to 95-100 anytime. In the nonce, extra copies of **Clear Ether!** are available for postage (e.g., a SASE.) ¶ You newer members may have accepted Marc & Donna's defeatism, but for me, *APA-v* remains *APA-New York University* forever! As far as I am concerned,, come the Revolution and we have smashed all the Statist concentration camps (schools) and indoctrination centers (colleges), this APA will be recognized as the only remnant of pseudo-private NYU that was worth saving. Accept no substitutes! By the way, for the amateur lawyers, as long as the

APA is filled with NYU alumni, current students and invited guests, they cannot take our name away. (Just strip us bare and kick us off campus.) ¶ I can't wait to get to the back disties which cover the alliance between NYUSFS and NYULSA and how it came about. If they'd only kick es, so to speak, out they'd bring back NYULA. ¶ I'd love to use all that free and cheap photocopying service being offered but two weeks lag time are impossible given the time round-trip for these disties. ¶ *Marc*, how about sending #23 as a spec copy to Bob Shea for a month or two? And if he doesn't accept, I can get it to Bob Wilson in Ireland.

Me My dating looks a little punk, probably because I needed to cut old digits into place quick and throw it together. ¶ More on Libertarian Futurist Society Futurian Caucus is probably inappropriate here after all; I'll continue the fight in *Fre-fanzine*. But extra copies will be

available to any non-Fref who ask for them. And occasional copies may be sent through here if of general interest. ¶ Fandom vindicated itself: Cherryh won! ¶ Deadline on *NL13* (ALL-SF) is pushed up to March 31, 1983.

Marc Glasser I tried fan-fully to give you the honour of the first **rabatic** but there's too much good stuff to ignore cavalierly (or like a Roundhead, for that matter). ¶ Loved *Rented Avis* Cars and wished I could have heard you sing it. ¶ Hard core! (Ct Dan Goodman) What happened to your wishy-washiness? ¶ (Ct Coulter) UnKonkinish award for Diplomatic Fannish Remark of the month. ¶ (Ct Dana Hudes) *Notes From The Underground* is the title of several anarchist magazines, the latest from Canada a couple of years ago. Has the CBT considered throwing the State out of New York transportation for a vast improvement on all fronts?

Judith Friedman Have you and Richard been receiving *The Smart Set*? Are you attending any Laissez Faire Supper Club of Manhattan dinners? Could you tell me about them? Yes, I got Richard's part Two of his long-awaited Bester review. ¶ (Ct Paul —) Portrait of me? I can't wait! ¶ (Ct Mark Blackman) Glad to hear Richard would have dispensed with the civil aspect *but* considering all the trouble he took on the uncivil aspects, that reasoning appears lame to me. ¶ You're beautiful when all fired up. More, more!

Maria C. Markham Glad to see you again in print, anyway. Missed you in Chicago. ¶ (Ct Marc) Yay, monogamy! Welcome to the club! ¶ You've been getting quite literate/literary since last I read you. Aging well, I see. (Quarter of a century, hah!) ¶ Frisco, eh? A tiny step up from OI' NYC.

Donna Camp First paper credit I've seen on a zine cover. ¶ Loved your ct "Sheila Wildthing." Good reading you in fighting trim again. ¶ (*Blue Streak*) There are other APAs? (Besides *Fref* and *SF*?) Of no consequence; forget the louts. ¶ Speaking of market charity, is it known that C.S. Lewis gave away more than half of his earnings to people he felt needy, much of it anonymously, and opposed the welfare state?

Arthur D. Hlavaty Thought you would escape me by dropping out of *Fref*, eh? Hah! ¶ Enjoyed collating with you, partying with

you, but...**rabatic** anyway. ¶ *ARF3* zine (which is probably the only place I'll see it): hard core! What's your background in information theory? ¶ Funny, I've thought of you more as a fannish fan—though not *faanish*. Maybe you slipped past my Watchful Dragons with your serconishness. ¶ CSL mention noted with glee.

Mike Gunderloy Say, why don't we pick the same disty to catch up on comments. * *conspiratorial tone** ¶ I'm for a Boston collation but gie *lots* of warning. First one was in 1980, by the way. ¶ Considering my advantage, I generally refrain from commenting on crummy repro (*e.g.*, faded ditto) but (1) I paid for the privilege and (2) you Know Better. Or did I get the Last Copy? ¶ (Ct Donna) Your idea may be *the* sleeper of the century. How about working out a Ponzi scheme to *finance* colonization of space, with its success necessary for continuing payoff. ("But I got to move to Fomalhaut III or break the chain, Mom!") ¶ Agree on evil of State hospitals; wish I was a Christian Scientist—say, do they take Friendly Atheists? ¶ Private hospitals will *take* indigent patients usually; question is, how long will they keep them (past emergency)? ¶ Ritual = habit + ceremony. ¶ (Ct Jim) Two hard cores! ¶ (Ct Sheila W.) Agree, except—"Rights exist. Enforcement is up to you." is my phrasing.

Other Sam Ghu, you don't even go back far enough to have back comments to me. Seems a lot of us decided to reactivate this month. ¶ (Ct Dan G.) An anarchist who votes is contradictory; here we get into subjective vs objective. I stick to objective definitions. ¶ (Ct Arthur, 'nu 78) Lots of philosophical comment hooks in your zine. "I think, therefore I am" has a problem ontologically but it's far stronger epistemologically than "I feel, therefore..." "I shit..." and even "I know..." The process of establishing existence (as opposed to existence itself being) requires *that which establishes existence*, that is, thinking. Hence Descartes begins at a point which leads him further. In Objectivist Epistemology, he has jumped the first two axioms to the third, "Consciousness exists" which is still broader than "I am, therefore I think" although a subset. ¶ Gct Neil Weiss on freedom of expression in 'nu. ¶ (Ct Jeff v79) Grimshaw

compared to Wolverine? But the latter's just a typical Canadian boy (probably from Alberta, given his creator) and Jeff's a weird New Jerseyan. ¶ (Ct Jim Huang) Are you saying that there "ain't" One True Way or that one which claims to be disqualifies itself? The first possibility breaks down into two more: there are many True Ways (A) or none (B). Each leads to a problem: If A, then the set of True Ways can be considered One True Way with variations, in which case your assertion is fairly trivial. If B, you are lead immediately to a contradiction; for if there are No True Ways, then there is no path of knowledge or sequence of true premises which can possibly contain the true statement that refers to your statement. (Fallacy of self-referent.) Finally, the last interpretation of your assertion is both non-trivial and non-contradictory but nonetheless a frightening possibility. Why cannot Truth express itself? ¶ (Ct Nancy L.) Things *have* changed since I've been gone. ¶ (Ct Nancy L. v80) Non-linear time is meaningful in theoretical physics; whether theoretical physics remains grounded in reality is another problem. Fortunately (or otherwise), we'll never experience it as humans (only mathematicians, heh heh). ¶ (Ct Greg Baker v82) Have you turned a bit Libertarian since last I read you? "Initiating violence," while most accurate phrasing, has become libertarian "code," if not cliché. ¶ (Ct VelmaJ Bowen) "APA-*nu* needs a Fed!" Nope, I guess you're not one of us yet. ¶ (Ct Elly Freeman) Oh, joy unbounded! Ego-scan for sore eyes! Actually, ...**AND ON GREEN!** was on green; **Clear Ether!**'s never been as I remember. ¶ (Ct Lee Ann Goldstein 'nu 83) "Goddess?" Boy, did I miss something somewhere. ¶ (Ct slight delay) Dungeon construction? Are you dungeoning now? Or into D&D? ¶ (Ct Mark Blackman) I *do* remember you as the other comic ~~Slam~~ fan/reader in 'nu. Your slashouts are all too true, I'm afraid, although the problem is in the *writers*, not *Cap per se*. All this damn statism, especially with *Falcon* running for Congress as if it were not *more* crooked than "Snap" Wilson's persona! Ah, how I miss Nomad. ¶ (Ct Charlie Belov) Bus schedules in *APA-*nu**? More I've missed (thankfully). But for NY-U, why not subway schedules (**derisive laughter**). ¶ (Ct Mike

Gunderloy v84) Another egoboo-quet! This is my fave zine this disty! ¶ (Ct Thomas W., v86) A third egoboo-ster! O frabjous day! You mean I give "massive, green, offset head"? Is it *good*? ¶ (Ct Donna v87) "Unconscious mind" is oxymoronic.

Philip Chien rabatic.

Gregory A. Baker New person, aren't you? When I took typing, it would have been "5 WAM." Or maybe it's a U.S.-Canadian difference. ¶ You're a *killer* statist, one of their mad dogs that the State dresses, feeds and sics on the enemy? ¶ I note you're not listed on the ToC. Shame?

Elizabeth Anne Ensley Hello, newcomer, and welcome. ¶ Males may be accused collectively of being a ruling class relative to females collectively but hardly a "*leisure* caste." ¶ By the way, you don't give comments, you won't get them. Be warned.

Mark L. Blackman Greetings, 'nother new person. ¶ (Ct Donna, Mike G. & What's His Name) Wrong. Government intervention reduces medical competition by cartelizing doctors; furthermore, the most backward areas of the planet have heavy government parasitism. Considering its surroundings, Hong Kong *should* be as bad off as Burma or Borneo, but in fact is the most thriving part of the Third World—because of a freer market! ¶ The rest of your Statement is both confused and thoughtful (speaking of "containing contradictions"). To snag just one point, anyone who excuses the State's existence on any grounds, after centuries of mass murder, plunder and rape, can lay no claim to "sensitive, caring" qualifiers. ¶ Your fiction reminds me of Steve Tymon's; take heart, he's selling it today.

Bernadette Bosky Didn't Arthur Hlavaty mention you? ¶ "As Naturally As Pigs Squeak" and "The Officious Snail" sound great for *Frefanzine*. ¶ "Psychodada" was a Golden Age? Trust an English major to debase precious metals. ¶ We're going to have semantic static problems in communication, I see. "Grim Romanticism" is a contradiction to me. Have you read *The Romantic Manifesto*? And your judgment, in whole or in part? (Oops, ct Marc that was.) ¶ (Ct Fred) Novels *are* also paid by the word in prozines, The passing fad of paperbacks (before the Great Return of prozines) has temporarily changed

publishing patterns, but any trufannish pro will pub in prozines first. ¶ (Ct Arthur) Rain? How primitive. Count me out of North Carolina. ¶ (Ct Mike G.) You find "psychodada" complex? First you have to find something in it. Could it be you're providing the meaning and imposing said complexity? "Outre" I'll grant. ¶ (Ct Sheila Wilding) Wanting "good" for the majority of a country which may cause a minority (including you) to suffer is altruistic (= self-destructive, if you're unfamiliar with libertarian-egoist use); imposing it is objectively evil. One can have "integrity" in acting evil, which is why I find the term a secondary compliment rather than a primary. Before we get into any argument on this (if you want one), let me add that I don't accept your premise save as rationalization. Let me put it another way: if your sacrifice (of some act or good) enhances the "good" of most everyone else, than you must be "sacrificing" evil. But evil cannot benefit you (in full context) so it was no sacrifice to improve yourself. Martyrdom (to take what should be my hardest case) increases one's self-value to near-godlike levels (though briefly enjoyed, to be sure). One who is convinced he or she is validly accepting martyrdom expects (and often gets) an extremely high pay-off (such as immortality in recorded history, the only form currently possible). It is a highly selfish act. ¶ Oho! So Donna was purged via minac from *The Golden APA*. Funny, same thing happened to me (*and I'm biding my vengeful time*). How about (more comment to Donna than you) a *Victims of Arthur Hlavaty APA*? ¶ (Ct Elly Freeman) You like Lovecraft? Liter-

ary/aesthetic lines of battle are being drawn. (*sounds of Eastern Front mobilisation*) ¶ Hope you don't mind me coming in late to the Great Purge fracas, but since you're supposedly defending Arthur, I'll take your position as fair to him if not more. *Fighting* in an APA is impossible; furthermore, after a certain level of hostility is reached with opponents, one need simply stop reading the offending zine. I have done this myself. Second, if one fears loss of control of emotions over another's presence, good form indicates that one should leave—not expel the other. Again, I put my money where my mouth is as several in this APA can testify. Third, and I'll stop here, the simple fact of Arthur's intervention quashes any claim he may have had for fairness. His best policy was isolationist and *laissez-faire*; one of the few intelligent things I ever did in a relationship was to stolidly refuse to get involved in a feud between my consort and a good friend (also female). On the other hand, I have been blinded by infatuation far too often not to accept Arthur's position as highly mitigated. I'm sure neither of you are quaking in your boots over my belated and uninvited arbitration judgment, so I'll press on to what I think is the key issue: Is *Golden APA* a Discordian APA or "Arthur Hlavaty's Invitational APA"? Hey, he can have it either way—but not both. ¶ A more fun disagreement coming up (maybe): before you think about "the conscious can change the sub-conscious," how about establishing the sub-consciousness's existence. Far as I have observed, it's an Old Husband's Tale (Siggy Freud being said Old Husband). In

case you missed my earlier contributions, don't toss in psychobabble unless you're willing to try to convince me Psychology has any current justification to its claim to be a science. (I am willing to argue it is not—about the same level of development as astrology or alchemy.) ¶ Interesting enough, I agree with nearly all the rest of that same long paragraph. Why do you accept the Freudian baggage when you're not heading to his trainstop? ¶ (Ct Lulu) Completely agree with you on guns.

Robert Sacks Anarch's Legion? Why were We not informed before? Sure you don't mean "Arachn's" with that spider? ¶ Since I don't believe NYC will last until 1989, you have my support. Anyway, I can always switch to "pre-opposing," right? Good deal!

Charles A. Belov Good cover. ¶ Good filks. ¶ Gct Bernadette about early rising. *Vive nocturnia!* ¶ Whoops! You finally blew your perfection streak. (Ct Dana) Please present evidence that smoking creates any risk of injury to anyone (unless you refer to backing into the lit end of a cigar?). ¶ About all I can find. You seem a paragon; maybe it's that Russian surname...

Bacover I No credit? My ghod, Subgenius has hit *nu!* Kerry, what hath thou wrought?

Second Section Cover Self-portrait, Ms. Conyers?

Sheila Wild(th)ing Name origin, *s'il vous plait?* I once had close experience with another Sheila W— but what's in a name? ¶ Is this story autobiographical? ¶ I'm not sure which central character is least believable. Have you thought of writing *True Romance* stories for money? ¶ No comments? Prepare yourself

for some *real* rejection! (Hell hath no fury like a fan scorned.)

Timothy P. Merrigan We meet in print at last! ¶ NYU is statist but ruling-class funded (Rockefeller *et al.*) rather than directly by the State. ¶ (Ct Arthur) *Science Fiction Review* is the ur-fanzine and fro Guru Geis didst I learn my fannish craft. Praise be unto Geis. ¶ Page 2 is unreadable in my copy. No, wait a minute, I've got *three* copies of it! ¶ (Ct Vicki R.) Cuts both ways; some people abuse the term Libertarian, applying it to themselves while not being anarchists, and *vice versa*. ¶ I graduated from High School in 1964 and was militantly rightist and *pro war* then. I was one of the few in my (Canadian) school who even knew Viet Nam was there. ¶ *APA-nu's* policy is *laissez-faire*. You cannot minac out, but fail to pay your bill (making it worth someone's while to get it to you) and goodbye. Heaven!

Neil J. Weiss I didn't already know it was you. Hello. ¶ I have a prejudice (actually a developed judgment) against mainstream fic. Tell you what, if it's worth it to you, write up some comments for me to deal with and I'll read your serial and comment back. Usual arrangement in APAs, by the way. It looks minimally competent at least on quick scan.

The Midnight Copier I like to know whom I'm addressing. No comments otherwise.

Mark W. Kennedy Hello. ¶ "Things of the mind...in the heart"? This statement is gobbledegook. I agree with all your negative evaluations of psychology; perhaps we should leave it at that.

Miriam Ann Rogow Your name looks familiar. ¶ Your review of



Blade Runner leaves out its prime virtue: the Abolitionist theme, warming the cockles of libertarian hearts. ¶ What does it mean to choose with whom you graduate? When I graduated, it meant simply I had completed requirements. I showed up for some ceremony (for the first two times), then skipped it for the Master's and that was that. How could you "graduate with X and not with Y?" Did they give you a choice of ceremonies? ¶ Agree on *Raiders*; as to *SW*, Carrie Fisher grabs me. You can have Ford. ¶ *E.T.*—Am I the only fan who thought it was "O.K.—no big deal?" ¶ A lesbian fantasy? In *APA-NYU*? I remember when we (**ultra-putridity warning**) didn't have two femfen to rub together. ¶ Don't know about your males as per "creaming over," but I loved Barry Bostwick in *Rocky Horror*. ¶ Turn on a preacher's son? Whisper heresies in his ear, perhaps? ¶ Be glad to write (nasty or other) comments about your zine when you start commenting. Hint.

New York Fandom Calendar I assume the plural is no typo? Well, I made the first event. (And I think I just typoed "Fandoms" myself. ¶ New Jersey Science Fiction Society? What happened to ESFA? ¶ Why are Boston and Virginia events listed? How about Long Beach and Los Angeles, then?

APA-NYU 89

Cover A bit bucolic? Looks neither sfnal nor NYUish.

APA News Hmm. I could mail these things directly to Art Tobias? ¶ Theory about Ann-Margret mailing; somebody looked through fan clubs starting with "A" in an incredibly comprehensive list? ¶ Checking through the addresses, I find that Bob Cohen's is long out of date (he lives in an ashram in Santa Monica now), and Victor Koman has a P.O. Box in Marina Del Rey, living nearby.

Jeff Grimshaw I lost you about when "California dropped into the ocean." Is this story a subtle message to me? ¶ (Ct me) Besides Chicago, I "been" right here. No, I promise to do better answering my mail. The nomination I consider inappropriate (**I said diplomatically**) for an award for best libertarian (science) fiction were Delaney's *Tales of Everyon* and Norman Spinrad's anything. Kay Nolte

Smith got in because the Prometheus wasn't limited to science fiction. I hear *The Watcher* isn't bad for a mundane book. ¶ (Ct Other Sam) "DMed" is the past tense of To D(ungeon) M(aster). And how do we tell when you're being whimsical as opposed to **fill in the euphemism** ¶ Best poetry you ever wrote!

Marc S. Glasser Gee, you make me wish I had gone back to see now Minneapolis and Milwaukee were doing now—especially Madison. ¶ (Ct Jeff) Hey, that Marvel Pope comic was pretty good! ¶ (Ct Yourself) Reappeared? I never went away! (Though my zines did for a while.) ¶ (Ct Fred) Since it's been awhile since I mentioned it, I consider short stories naught more than extended anecdotes. Give me multi-volume series, preferably serialized in prozines. ¶ How was the party? **Barry Walden** You're kidding! Lunarians have enough problems grasping NYUSFS' existence, let alone play football with it.

Jim Huang Your zine repro has mightily improved. ¶ (Ct Fred) *Blade Runner* had a superb, hard-core plot! Sirrah! Neat images? Where? Just good of downtown L.A. ¶ (Ct Donna) The "liberal model" went under in 1917. It's been bankrupt since 1933. ¶ You're confusing looking after yourself with special knowledge. The State doesn't have it either; why shouldn't you hire the experts directly rather than having the State do it for/against you? With *your* money, involuntarily taken in arbitrary amount. ¶ (Ct me) Give me more comments and you'll hear from me more, at least. ¶ (Ct Mike) Funny, I saw *The China Syndrome* and saw no negative portrayals of anti-nuclear protestors. The film was, as you know, on *their* side.

Nina Bogin I liked it better when you hand-lettered; more legible, too! ¶ (Ct Donna) A peculiar route to take to rejecting statist plunder, but welcome anyways. ¶ (Ct me) Back? I never left! OE of *SCAPA FLOW* is Tim Merrigan and I think I just missed collation again. ¶ (Ct Other Sam) What's going on? Coordination. ¶ (Ct Announcement) What? Are you two an item now? Beware of Sams; I ought to know.

Arthur D. Hlavaty Memory of whom? ¶ (Ct Mark W.K.) *Sssh!* They weren't supposed to know about the 23rd type! ¶ (Ct Tim)

He looks *nothing* like Lyndon Baugh. ¶ (Ct Charles A.B.) Good for you, sir! You can crash at the Anarchovillage anytime. ¶ (Ct Bernadette) How about using guns on people to prevent a loss? Yes, a .45 may be forceful, but hardly eloquent in expressing disapproval. ¶ "...dervishes really do whirl. It's a way of altering consciousness." Oh, you men getting dizzy? ¶ (Ct judging: a noble creed, sir. ¶ (Ct me) Summoned? Me? (**flash* *puff of brimstone**) And it was FAFIA, at worst. ¶ Er, how much is that small fee? I assume you mean former members of another APA... ¶ So I won one, big deal. (**Yay**) ¶ As soon as I am firmly returned to *Fref*, I shall denounce you unmercifully for desertion! By the way, in line with your reasons for leaving, would you like a copy of the next *SNLA*, with my *Agorism Contra Marxism*? Only \$2.95. Specify **Strategy of the New Libertarian Alliance #2**. And I'm already typesetting it! ¶ You're Greeking *APA-v*? All of us? Sequentially or simultaneously? In *collatio*? (**And so putrefies a once-fine fannish mind**)

Mike Gunderloy (Cst Me) Right! Next communication with Grossberg, I ask him for membership figures. No, I demand them. And see his books, too! (**Tough talk from one whose membership cheque finally cleared**) ¶ Hmm. You don't have a fannish name. How about "Mike Gu." (**or Mike Ghu**)? ¶ Constellation it is. Crabophile expedition? ¶ How about a classified in *NL* for the computer anarchonot? On me. ¶ Spec copy should be on the way if Tim read that. ¶ MLLcon in 1984 now; does that increase your chance? ¶ Is this soon enough? ¶ (Ct Donna) I (**heart symbol**) BSFC! But dump the "sci-fi" and keep the *fuc*. ¶ Hard core both theoretically and tactically on anti-nuke pop fronting. ¶ (Ct Arthur) You're in touch with SRAF? I first met Wilson & Shea in their *Bulletin* and wondered who these sane, rational, logical oddballs were in that irrational crowd. ¶ (Ct Other Sam) Wow! You were great, kid! ¶ So that's how you got "up" for my panel. ¶ (Ct Bernadette) Gee, I always seek you out at parties. Does this mean we'll never get married? ¶ (Ct Tim) Amusing answer but nonetheless accurate. ¶ Ah, disagreement at last! Keep the copycount near 100 (my mini-

mum press run)—or I'll dump the typesetting (**threat**). Rest sound fine.

Jennie Jacobson Hello, new (*nu*) person. Ah, you are a Huang recruit. ¶ Heavy into Judaism? What branch? NYUSFS was once Kosher because one particular member was (and still is, I trust). Then the Vegetarian joined... ¶ (Ct Jim) **ick** A moderate! Get out the spray! ¶ Seriously, men at feminist meetings is hardly "moderate:" it's standard practice at AIF (Association of Libertarian Feminist) meetings. How are the men going to be converted and recruited otherwise? (Somebody's got to type the flyers and wash the dishes after.) ¶ Warning. If I don't get comments, I don't give them.

Starr S. Fyre I don't believe you exist. **poof** ¶ Joe Piscopo is the last vestige of competence on *Saturday Night Dead*. You should have seen his Frank Sinatra impression on HBO recently, singing punk songs Frank's way (like Joan Jett's "I Love Rock & Roll").

Mark L. Blackman nco nci. **Second Section Cover** Time bubble, Jeff? And what does that remark you wrote in the corner mean? "I sang my balls off for you, baby"? In a higher-pitched voice thereafter, one presumes.

Bernadette Bosky I feel a cold coming myself (**hoarsely**) ¶ Thank Ghu your literature course is an elective! With the exception of sword 'n' sorcery Fritz Leiber, your list of authors is a Rogues' Gallery. What's the course entitled: "How To Wallow in Despair and Destroy Values?" or "Smashing Heroism and Descending to the Depths?" ¶ "feminist Chicago cop" = contradiction in terms. Feminism is a branch of libertarianism. ¶ (Ct me) Me? Favourably? **preen** ¶ Maybe so, but what a way to go! ¶ Yes, pass along the info and have Hunter submit to *NL* (no bondage games implied). ¶ (Ct Marc) But first overreactions oft take off from a mild reaction *perceived* as strong. ¶ (Ct Mike Ghu) I gather than "the Big C" to which you claim habituation does not mean "coke" in North Carolina? (Or if it does, shame on Arthur for marrying you for your money). ¶ Gct on redundancy of "immoral war." ¶ (Ct Other Sam) Like your *RHPS* fantasy and want to know about the *Paradise Lost* imagery. I was/am a Milton fan.

AND SO THE ZINE ENDS IN MID-COMMENT AS HE DOTR RUSH TO THE PRINTER ♦