

# Frefanews II

2nd volume of the contribution of  
Paul Edward Konkin III for Frefanzine,  
the World's Only Libertarian Science  
Fiction APA.

Contact at NLCo, 1515 W. MacArthur Blvd., #19, Costa  
Mesa, CA 92626; Personal: SEK3.

Number Two. February 1984

WELCOME TO 1984!

Probably the biggest Frefanews (frefan news) is the thriving of our newest group, the GEORGE ORWELL SOCIETY, The Libertarian Revisionist History Club.

Formed in March last year by the MLL, then spun off independently with an "Entrepreneurial Board" of myself, J. Neil Schulman, George H. Smith, David McCalden and Paul Genteman, we've had meetings on the Falklands/Malvinas War, Professor Faurisson and his persecution by the French state for his revisionist views on World War II, Karl Hess, 20th Century wars, and most recently, representatives of El Salvador's opposition and the local refugee group put in an appearance.

In the coming year, the most interesting events to frefen will probably be the meeting Vic Koman is organizing on Space Programme revisionism (with yours truly as speaker), and the formation by Vic and Paul of an APA, tentatively to be titled The Memory Hole. Neil is getting a speaker for a meeting on George Orwell, our patron saint, sometime in May.

And we Anarchovillagers hope you will visit our bustling mini-community at a party we expect to throw just before the 1984 Worldcon in nearby Anaheim. If I survive, we'll also have a party the night after the Dead Dog Party—a deader dog or Dead Crane Party. All Frefers are invited. Possibly some party will be thrown during LACon II, if someone volunteers a room. (We locals may not take any rooms.)

O.K., MIKE, I PROMISED....

It's incredibly unlikely I'll either catch up or keep up with comments on Frefanzine this year, but here goes. (I've got a book to finish, and two major MLL projects for this year...and who knows whether we'll survive until 1985 with a Major War and inflationary take-off in the second half of this year.)

## COMMENTS ON FREFANZINE #44

Cover Enough of this surrealistic shit. How about sending a spec copy to artist S.C. (Sandy) McIntosh, 2116 Spring Street, Long Beach, CA 90810. An MLL member, Sandy's done some good pieces for NL. I've got some other artists around, but Sandy's particularly fannish so start with her.

ToC Interesting collection of anarcho-reprobates.

Charles Curley is back in Long Beach and might be interested in picking up fanac in Fref again. Also, a spec copy to The Thornton, another early contributor, might be in order. ¶ I'll be glad to pay for spec copies to prisoners who specifically ask for them. If anyone wishes Frefanzine to be a closed APA, they are completely violating established precedent and can quit any time they want. Perhaps, simply through overwhelming fairness, you can give them a one-issue warning and let them pull their names out and their zines. (That is, don't list them in the accounts section. I frankly don't know why you, Mike, publish accounts anyway. Bad habit you picked up from Don or from Fred Woodworth in The Match?) ¶ While I have back issues of Fref somewhere in my garage, it's a truly frightening thought to start digging through the mess. However, in the months to come, Vic will be cleaning out the garage and I can request that he keep his eyes out for likely looking boxes, and I'll take it from there. (Vic and Veronica are about to reproduce, and for this heinous crime against humanity, have been forced to move from their sumptuous Palos Verdes apartment.) ¶ I'm amused at Mike & Gail being nagged for lacktivity; they arrived in L.A. last year berating me!

MUTATORIAL POLICIES No disagreement. You might want to warn newer contributors that the non-rules may change with each change in OE.

VICKI ROSENZWEIG Greetings; haven't I seen you hanging around other sleazy APAe? ¶ We were running around singing "Send The Marines" during the Grenada invasion ourselves out here. ¶ (Ct Elayne) You've given me motivation to look up back disties; I'm an ultra-monogamist myself. ¶ (Ct Mike) The issue of religion and libertarianism came up at a meeting with Christian Laissez Faire's speaker recently. Libertarianism should obviously lead to opposition to Established (statist) Churches but has little to say on "hierarchical" religion; proto-libertarians like G.K. Chesterton and Hilaire Belloc were Roman Catholics—then an opposition group to the Established Church of England. ¶ Good you brought up anarcho-Christians.

¶ I have the same idea about 1984 being a year of self-fulfilling expectations. Crises should abound—and hence opportunities to entreprising agorists...and Chinese cursing types. ¶ The libertarian movement is split on copyrights (Rothbard, LeFevre, and recently Neil Schulman pro); I'm opposed and have yet to hear a good argument dealing with the innocent bystander problem (who sees material while not bound by contract and takes no property from anyone yet has the "ideas" in his or her mind for possible future use). Yes, I put my zines where my mouth is. ¶ Reasonable position on animals and plants. ¶ Hey, raving objectivists are the best types; that way you can tell by the sound where they are and when they're coming...

GARRETT Any relation to proto-libertarian Garet Garrett (The People's Pottage) or is this a nom de guerre?

TOM SOMER Congratulations on your marriage! Welcome to Fref! ¶ What do you mean "agoric poverty?" With the exception of a few full-time ~~libertarians~~ activists such as myself and Mike, most agorists have quite a bit of gold stashed away. Some few public figure agorists like Doug Casey and Jim Blanshard are millionaires. I suppose it might be a bit difficult for Charles Koch or a Rockefeller to go (openly) agorist, but Rockefellers have been practicing counter-economics for decades (as well as arch-statism). ¶ What could possibly "redeem" the LP? And it hardly seems re-energized, at least here next to the Barony of Bergland. I see little reason for your pessimism. ¶ No, I precisely disagree with you. The Left as it presently stands is bankrupt and dying; the Right is in power. Libertarians best bet is to move into the vacuum of the Left and sweep out the socialist detritus. ¶ (Ct Donna) I'm not an humanist either; I don't understand its relevance in your context. ¶ (Ct Donna on statism depressing market in employment consumer information.) ¶ (Ct Jimmy) What's a pathetic new waver? Ah, note later you're a deviationist on the MTV question. My problem with it is that it's sliding into MOR. Thank ( fill in the blank ) for the growing competition. ¶ Full of deviations, but your story holds promise—at least plotwise. Seems potentially romantic; put in a Kimball Kinnison and Clarissa Macdougall type and off you go! (Rand is a bit soft-core as a Romantic, actually.)

DARLENE CARRA Ahem. Eddy Grant is on MTV. ¶ Your review is the first mention of Right Wing Women I've seen anywhere. I am very interested. From my early days in the activist Right, particularly remember the dearth of women. What did motivate those few to take up such an unpopular stance and swim against the tide? It may be very useful knowledge for our recruitien ¶ The proportion of women rapists is vanishingly small (unless you include "technical rape" of those below the age of consent, where it's smaller than for males but statistically significant), but, take heart! "Liberation" is having its effect; female rape is up and growing! (Remember the broomstick incident recently that convinced everyone that TV is a Bad Influence, for example?) I might add this is an excellent example of why Feminism without Libertarianism is monstrous. (Yep, same for Masculinism, etc.) ¶ (Ct Mike) What! You don't support the heroic Newfie fisherman's last-linedefence against the Baby Seal menace? If they didn't club the little bastards, they'd be sweeping down Labrador to New England and flipping elderly women on the streets of Boston and Brooklyn right now! Thank God those clever Canucks have the wit to catch them when they're young and before they've grown into the fang-toothed, venom-dripping tentacled monsters that we're so familiar with. Nature was insidiously clever giving them that "cute" camouflage when they're young; how those poor, heart-rended Sons Of The North must bite their lips and grit their teeth to club another "seal" for the good of huamity. Fortunately, their coats when young reimburse the seal hunters somewhat (the market always rewards the Good Guys), as long as they get them before they turn into that slime-covered scaly hide with the tentacles, fangs, pincers, et. al. Do what you can to counter-act the usual Media Blindness and wrongly-placed (or conspiracy-inspired, depending on your ideology) bleeding-heartedness. Perhaps bumper stickers? "I (club-symbol) BABY SEALS!" or "STOP THE BABY SEAL THREAT! Buy a fur coat NOW!" ¶ I dislike Meryl Streep but I am accepting your advice to see Silkwood because of its revisionist content. ¶ (A) When did SRAF start accepting individualists and/or agorists? I could barely get letters printed in the old Bulletin and then I was threatened by lynching by "anarchist" communists (not all, to be sure). Or are you a marketeer of any type?

E.C.  
ace  
tro  
row  
May  
AP  
h

E GUNDERLOY (Ct. Sean) Actually, eating fuels our bodily combustion, as entropy increases. Life is obviously a process as it proceeds spontaneously; I've noticed growing confusion on this point. Wonder why? ¶ Maybe if I could bring myself to skip zines in APA-nu I wouldn't be skipping disties. ¶ Er, ah, how can I put this delicately? I am missing some of your delightful prose because of left-margin ditto fade and that is surely a fate to be thwarted! ¶ (Ct Brian) I seemed to have missed the (anti-) Power struggle (good) but it seems to me that a lot of people are condemning Brian without any actual evidence. All they've seemed to have succeeded in is driving him away. ¶ (Ct Jimmy) Bergland is a (\*gag, choke\*) "principled, radical candidate" (\*upchuck\*)? Our local boy David is a... candidate, all right. David was a compromise candidate of an incredibly unprincipled coalition of rightist (David Nolan) to center-left (Rothbard) partyarch hacks who considered him a lesser Evil than Earl Ravenal (highly debatable), or, to be more precise, than anybody Ed Crane supported. Crane's coalition was nearly as unprincipled, including pseudoLeft elements (Raimondo's Caucus) and Robert (reason) Poole. Yes, Mike, it's true that Bergland knows the radical rhetoric and gets it right in speeches, but he's so wonderfully dull in his presentation that the radicals wander away or fall asleep before he can co-opt them. ¶ (Ct Sean) Bum? Just for that I won't write another FREFANEWS! Eat that! (Now where's my patented nose-slicer; I have this face to spite...) ¶ Yeah, there's a White List (\*since it's "Mr. Konkin" now, I guess you're really sorry now\*) but since it has all of one name, it wasn't worth pubbing. Amazing what a trustworthy bunch of nice guys we agorists are after all. (Or how chickenshit anyone was to nominate rip-offs.) ¶ Sean a substance abuser? Naw/ He's always been straight around me (\*far as I can tell\*). Besides, how can you abuse inanimate substance? I suppose it can be mechanized to abuse people... By the way, the term ab-use may have no meaning in a Subjective-Value world; are not all uses proper short of violence initiation? ¶ Hong Kong road charges, caveat at government running it, general approval otherwise, notes on tech., hell, what's to disagree with? You're hardcore as ever, Comrade! ¶ By Bakunin's balls! How did you ever get control of SRAF? Do they know you're a card-carrying agorist? (If you lost your card, I'll send you another.) Times Have Changed. ¶ Speaking of your networking,

how's the bulletin board coming along? I am nearly ready to get a computer (within a year, State & Market willing) and I have a dozen people who have expressed interest in linking up. Start with Brad Rodriguez (just signed him up to MLL, he's a boy computer genius who's been active in SLL locally) at 5522 Sunfield, Lakewood, CA 90712 (suburb of Long Beach). He's got three running computers (last count) in his home alone. He specifically mentioned he wanted to get into an Anarcho-Bulletin Board; he might also be a good relay center for L.A. area (dial him instead of Boston) and thus bring the price down to anyone's level. Also, contact Sandy McIntosh address already given for cover art; she's got 2-1/2 other computer hackers living with her (the half's her anarcho-son, Sean—small world, isn't it?). ¶ A last parting comment on your SRAFeeding; of course there's nothing wrong from your Left Libertarian position ehancing market communication with anarchocommies; the problem is that the Right Anarchocommies won't let you! (Or wouldn't have conceived of it in my day, around 1971-4).

JIMMY HARRIS Yeah, Andrea's pretty neat;

I've known her through a lot of coalitions and shifts and we've stayed friends. Although John Muller was turning anti-LP in his last days of LF Books ownership, he was so abrasive that it was nearly impossible to work with him. Andrea Millen (Rich) should make a big difference (and they have the money to ~~throw down the bid~~ properly capitalize it that John never did. ¶ Flynt also supported the Draft. But the argument's now irrelevant; the State locked him up for the duration of the Campaign. Whether or not he was libertarian, he was obviously dangerous, empirically demonstrated. ¶ Tell me more about your successful dealings with The Freeman; I've been wondering what direction they would take with Leonard Read's passing. He exercised proprietorial control (if it was political, it would have been called dictatorial) until his last year of senility. ¶¶ (Ct Annie) She has an excellent question on what people are doing who allegedly believe not in coercion belonging to political parties. Their sole function is to capture the center of coercive power. They educate people only in how to take power, and educate those onlooking into realizing that "libertarian" must be a synonym for power-seeking. So much for "education." To use State posts (I noticed you left that word out) to block State legislation is absurd. If you block a

specific statist course of action, it merely redounds to the State's benefit by pruning unpopular programmes that could lead to revolutionary build-up among the masses. I've had no trouble "linking up" with min-archists and non-argorists in all sorts of Pop Fronts without joining the State or its party tentacles (your third point). You meet those same people in the Real World outside parties—or they are so obsessively political you wouldn't want to meet them (especially in a dark alley). ¶ Good and accurate description of IHR position. ¶ (Ct Mike) You're a Christian? Want a semi-rare copy of Christian Laissez Faire #1? (John Yench gave me a bunch to unload when he cleaned out his stores.) ¶ Ghod, man, you really don't see that the most regressive elements in the LP are the "Radical" caucus and such rhetoricians as Dave Bergland? I do not take seriously your statement that you could possibly believe that the LP educates anyone into thinking anything but that "Libertarians" are a form of statist. Considered yourself slapped with the Glove of the Invisible Hand, sirrah: Challenge One: present one person that was "educated" from statism to liberty by the LP (not counting ones I straightened out afterward, or Mike, or any other agorist/Left Libertarian). Challenge Two: Name one increment of freedom produced so far by the LP (considered the millions of dollars and billions worth of hours and personal energy expended). Yes, I'd be glad to list millions of dollars I've got out of the hands of the State by teaching Counter-Economics and attracting radicals to furthering it...for dollars out of my pocket (and \$25 memberships to MLL).

SEAN HAUGH Where the Hell (State?) have you been? Your last two mailings were bounced back but one, and that last one I didn't waste postage on. You should have received an envelope of back goodies by the time you read this which was sent to your new address. By the way, I expect you to work tightly with Mike in the Boston Cell, now that you've returned. You seem to have wonderfully complementary personalities. ¶ Hey, you're draft age? You'd be a good choice to head up our Anti-Draft Pop Front. But I want to reach down even younger, to those who will be in college the next four years (1984-88); that's when the action will really be heating up a la 1964-69. ¶ Check with me when you are seriously considering your Canada option—but don't

wait until the last minute. ¶ So that's the real story of the SRAF coup. How did the SRAF Bulletin get from Mountain View to DC? (I know about its earlier history, when Jim Bumpas moved it North to Mountain View from UCLA.) ¶ You've been leading an interesting life, though it seems even less profitable than I. ¶ Nothing to do with Mary Daly's feminism, but phrases like "being present to yourself" are pure psychobabble. (One needs a strong bullshit filter for such stuff living around here.) You didn't define it; did she bother to? ¶ Naw, Vic Koman has a complete collection of the early Frefs; probably mint condition in mylar. (Ct Mike) ¶ (Ct Anni) I share your distaste for literati incest. But I didn't find Garp particularly exceptional, either. ¶ (Ct Mike ct you) Well, nukes might be legitimately used to clear planets out of the way of Interstellar Hyperspace Freeways... ¶ (Ct Donna) To you, maybe; but objectively, the best (freest) country (read: area) on this planet is the Sea; second, the Counter-Economy anywhere; third, Hong Kong (for 15 more years).

FRANKED ZINES Hi. But I think I'll use the rest of this space to comment on...

### FREFANZINE #43

COVER Not great art, but I like the sentiment.

ToC A bit late to comment, but sorry to hear about your unsatisfactory encounter with the State's enforcers.

ANNI ACKNER "...weird turn pro..." Love it! I may quote you. ¶ On the other hand, I disagree with Sherwood Anderson's quote. ¶ Garp hit heights of tolerability at times... ¶ (Ct me!!!) Actually, I think I explicitly excluded the one case of noms de plume for "fun." The point of the non-serious case is that...no one takes it seriously or is expected to (A is, after all, A). The point at which it is meant to be seriously misleading to someone else is the point at which it is to be taken...seriously. ¶ I never objected to changing one's name, whether for perceived sexism, or to wreak revenge on one's ancestors (possibly deserved), or whatever. But then that becomes your real name and no dishonesty is committed. The problem is the attempt to defraud or evade...and in my ethics, only the State deserves that. ¶ I see no legitimate options being cut off, but then again I see no options in name games and never looked for any. Answer this: if a name is so unimportant, why are you so concerned over your "option" to change it? And if it's important, why should I not be concerned as to what it is? Cake...or eat it?