

T A R Z I N E of the A P A S !
A somewhat controversial and
always contentious personalzine
of Samuel Edward Konkin III,
P.O. Box 9026, Long Beach, CA 90810.
Intended for the 548th distribution
of APA-L. Number 10, November 13, 1975.

EN GARDE AT THE RIPOSTE OFFICE

APA-L 546 (Concluded)

Bill Warren: I guess we are arguing
about the premises
involved in the making of the film.
For example, salability would depend
on the fame of the subject. I was
arguing from a market standpoint,
not questioning anywhere any
"real worth" of Mr. Johnson. But
the film will prove me right or wrong
when sold (or not) and it seems it
is going to be done, so why don't we
let reality settle the dispute for us?
//I gather APAlong Cassidy rates
with Demented Duck and Space Petrol?//
Before we argue any more about what
would make a good movie, how high
do you rate plot and theme?

Lee Gold: So you went through a
Japanese castle? Boy,
would I like to see your next dungeon
for D & D. And speaking of that,
how would you explain D&D to
Japanese fandom?//Await hungrily
the filks.//First heard about the
suitcase nukes in some Detective
Magazine I found lying around in
the fifties. More recently, I have
had long discussions with Filthy
Pierre, who feels they will be all
over the place in a couple of years,
Lowell Ponte's article (Penthouse,
I think, Mike Cliver mentioned he's
first reference probably only assumed
they were feasible, but all the
others state their positive existence
with present technology. Perhaps
Jerry Pournelle would like to confirm?

Bruce Pelz: Some of us were in
Denver in July but we
did not know about Cinderella City.
Gotta try it next time out.

APA*L 547

Charles Lee Jackson III: I like your
cover. In fact,
I have liked nearly everything you do.
Consider yourself invited to submit
for NLW if you can think of anything
appropriate or even of general interest.

Ted Johnstone: I did not know of your
stats in A&E #1 or I
wouldn't have gone through all that
labour. Of course I only went to two
figures; how many D&D'ers out there
really care about more? But I do thank
you for going to higher hit dice and
establishing the curve.

Tom Locke: No disagreement with your
ramblings on the Round
Robin unless you think that the reason
the New Prophet did not get the Aussies
first was anything but accident. I
have seen nothing in the Aussies I
have met to indicate that they are
any more immune than Yanks or Canucks.
Come to think of it, I remember some
Albertans asserting to me that such
a takeover was much more likely in the
home province, and some New Zealanders
attacking their fellow countrymen
for susceptibility for statist
religiosity. The closer you are, the
more the warts show.//I hate to tell
you, but Coca-Cola has lost, so they
might as well give up their harassment.
//...and my comments will give at least
a few more the idea of checking on
what the Declaration had to do with
the Constitution and finding very
little. Most importantly, though, the
most telling point is having them
obtain the signatures of both and finding
out how few signed both documents.//
Volkswagens don't kill people any more
than guns kill people. People kill
people.//Thanks for your corroborating
remark to Johnstone.

Allan Rothstein: I must admit I cannot get worked up about the alleged immorality of the Hormons you describe. After all, there is nothing coercive about them and they sincerely believe they are helping you save your soul or they would not bother. But they are turning some people off, perhaps more than they would like to lose, so simply telling a few that their methods are backfiring may cause them to change their sales technique.//Hang in there with your two-page limit. Look at me! Courage. Call me up and I'll talk you out of doing that third page if you promise to do the same. We can lick it!

Mark Swanson: Contrived or do you mean complicated? Both? //Back of the bus? Conservative? Huh? Personally, I have little use for conservatives, socialists, or any other form of statist, except as potential souls to be saved. If Brown really did convince people that the State can be dealt with through the State (which is palpable nonsense), he is the most deceptive and most likely to continue the State's predatory existence. Hence his candidacy is the most dangerous. Same reason I oppose the Libertarian(sic) Party and their candidates. Finally, I am not a "political virgin" as you put it, but a crusty old whore from four parties in two different countries who has learned better. Nuff said?

Tom Digby: You have struck on a critical point on the gaining and losing of freedom. The present society will be a lot freer for those of us wanting freedom (libertarians) if we seize all freedoms we can now and exercise them to the heights. Nonetheless, if no one else points it out first, I must demur on one aspect. The Arms would shoot me and those like me for doing things that the present pigs would let go by, and hence be worse. This has no bearing on the actions of the booboisie. And that is

what we are worked up about.

Dan Deckert: A Jack Daniels fan!//That's funny, I'm obviously familiar with and sympathetic to libertarian "doctrine" simultaneously, and you must have known that. Hence...But as long as you embrace contradictions, you are safe from ever becoming a libertarian. Blanking out reality is an excellent repellent as well.

Vic Koman: Tis a far, far better issue you have done than you have ever pubbed before.//What extra 1/2 inch? I don't see any extra half-inch. Do you see an extra half inch, Mike? No? How about you, Chuck. Chuck doesn't see it either. Dan? June? Allan? Kurt? Nobody else sees that extra 1/2-inch, Vic. Come along now, we've had a trying day, haven't we. After all, you have not been feeling well, have you? Come along, now, that's a good boy...

Marty Cantor: OK, here goes. I have never smoked a briar pipe that didn't need breaking in; ergo, by your statement, I have never smoked a quality briar. Well, maybe. On the other hand, I have yet to need to break in a Meerschaum. A: Weird, but if you send me a briar, I'll try it. B: Yep. C: But it can get too dry. D: Again yep. E: Agree with everything but the THICK pipe cleaner. I like Ream'n'Kleans, myself. F: So that's why you aren't supposed to smoke the same pipe twice in a row. No pipe I ever had took more than two weeks to break in. But that contradicts the prefatory remarks somewhat.//Your remarks to Tepper on music impressed me even though I did not understand the referents involved. Now if you only dealt with politics that way... //One last shot at that "individualists" running roughshod bit--since when do civilizations (or any other collective) give us (or take away from us) our rights?

Lee Gold: Club meeting? Torcon slides? You mean you finally met Japanese fandome? Surely someone spoke English?