

★ TARZINE OF THE APAS! ★

ommentzine for SCAPA FLOW by Samuel Edward Konkin III, published bi-monthly. Volume II.
Contact at New Libertarian Enterprises, P.O. Box 1748, Long Beach, CA 90801; Personal: SER3.

NUMBER THREE

JANUARY 29, 1981

SCAPA FLOW 10

COVER Well, Nina, if you read this, hello and great work as usual. How 'bout joining SF? Just don't get enough of you.

KLAXON Things change in eight months. Come on back, Admiral Emeritus; you're missed.

MIKE RAUB Greeting, fresh fish.// (Ct Betty) Dislike cats, eh? Ever meet Vic Koman?//(Ct me) EIAA!//If I knew which KHJ Fantasy Contest I missed, would I be asking? I didn't even know they had had more than one. Didn't KHJ just go Country? //(Ct Mike) Yeah, Noreascon II was the one. Did you make it?//John Jorman is an alias and he's making money hand over...whip.

NEETERS Gone diary on us? Miss the comments.//I remember that LASFS May Day. Naught else.

CHRIS MARTIN Fanfic, reviews. A regular genzine. But no comments.

CLINT HYDE Just a quickie for us?

BILL CURRY Sheesh. No comments to me either! This APA's going to go fast.

ME Ah, yes, the last time I was all caught up with comments. It shall be that way again, ghod willing.

MIKE SHUPP Talking to yourself?// (Ct Kay) Well, that's one way to get a novel written. Have you submitted it yet?//(Ct Me, Bill, Jorman) You've got to be kidding. 'Corporations' are part of the State apparatus and are going into Space

hand and glove with the State. The UN Treaty will have absolutely no effect on Free Enterprise development of Space 'cept maybe to keep the "competition" of Statist toadies down. Government subsidies and their soft recipients will not develop Space; State-be-damned Marketeers will. Clear Ether! and Laissez Faire!// (Ct Arthur) "Society has interests not always identical to those of the individuals who make up the society." Name one.// You see no difference between society's condemning robbery and proscription of writing? How about the fact that you can ignore a pornographer and not a robber? How about violence vs non-violence?// (Ct Neeters) Actually, most innuendo and symbolism found in writing is "made up for him/herself." The stuff put there is that which is usually overlooked.//(Ct Mike G.) On being ignored for parties & cold shoulders: "Nemo me impune lacessit," eh? I don't remember slighting you, but I'll skip any offers of Amontillado, thanks. //(Ct me) What rights does NYU have? Or anyone who lives off plundering others? //Gct Arthur on shared attitudes, not political programmes.//(Ct Dennis) Mexican War & Spanish American Wars the only blotches on U.S. foreign policy? How about the gratuitous invasion of Canada in 1812? Or Europe in 1914? Got something against non-Spanish speakers? Since you won't believe a libertarian telling you how the U.S. turned from a republic to an empire after World War II, how about conservative Garet Garrett? Read The People's Pottage or the essay, "The Rise of Empire."//(Ct Dave Friedman) I agree with all your listed functions for public schools; that's why I can see no reason they should not be abolished.//Gct Mike G. on outsiders' acceptance.//(Ct Dan) I certainly have noticed no first principles in your writing; in fact, you seem far more confused than Deckert. He has at least the glimmering of reality which he hesitates toward; you

(SHUPP, Concluded) seem to seek darkness and confusion, deliberately mixing contradictory premises. //(Ct me) I think the problem lies in the term "intrinsically." The fact is that gold is valued and attempts to change that are futile. Or more likely, a cover for statist sleight-of-hand with the money supply. //But in all the examples you give, government is to blame. If there were no State to hold out the promise of monopoly, people would not feel deprived of the coercion on their behalf and certainly not be oppressed by the coercion on their oppressors' behalf. //Why should my opposition to the Federal Reserve and fractional reserve be bothered by some banks dropping out of the Federal Reserve to maintain lower reserves? You imply cause and effect? But the correction mechanism in the market for fractional reserves is a run on the fraudulent bank, and that's not allowed (by the State's intervention). Furthermore, no bank can drop out of the Federal Reserve control since that's where the money comes from. "Membership" is a small fiction. No, my "beliefs" aren't bothered because none are involved; economics and history suffice. //The crunch will come when Jerry Pournelle has to choose between maintaining the State's power or defending a Space Marketeer when the two are in clear conflict and he's able to affect the outcome. Until that, he can, I assume, live with his dichotomy.

SCOUTER Strange pagination. No comments for me? I suppose I could make some from your comments to Kay (where you signal me) but I mostly agree with you, save I understand what you're talking about is freedom. To say you're not even willing to die for freedom or fight for anything else, that is to fight for freedom.

NORMAN W. FERGUSON Skipped again.

KEITH WILLIAMS Finally you do comments and I get ignored! You can take your Doug Wright and Dr. Xerxes and...

CLINT HYDE Ah, at last, comments! Somebody sensitive of feelings. //Mimeo is a miracle? Praise Ghu. //No records in common. //(Ct me) Ah, you advocate situation ethics? Actually, I think the situations you are likely to avoid forcibly restraining someone from killing themselves are the ones in which you'll probably be blown away first to prevent you from interfering. That class of suicide is almost enough to earn back my respect (from the usual contempt I have for them). Actually, anyone you can prevent self-snuffing didn't really want to go that bad; i.e., was shamming for some payoff on this side of the maternity line—which is why I hold them in such contempt. Remember, the operative phrase remains "forcibly restraining" no "(if necessary)" qualifiers. //(Ct Kay) I'd be fascinated by an account of someone's favorite bridge hand. The only problem with your trying to come off as a snob is your execrable taste. //Missed you in Boston.

KAY SHAPERO Since Ken De Vries (whoever he is) makes no sense (as usual), looks like I'll end comments on this section with you, and it will undoubtedly end my contribution for this disty as it's early morning before the day that Lyndon drives to the Bay Area with our L.A. zines. So, first in response to your Cst me. Doug Wright's latest con seems to have bombed spectacularly, and even after the competition was closed down. //Well, you could try complimenting me on this repro and see if I then go back (or switch to something even cruder). //Yes, yes, take your registration card out and (Censored)! Oh, joy unbounded. //Saw it and loved it. On to Revenge of the Jedi! //You expect me to argue with the attitudes of those making up a society influence it far more than the laws"? One of the basics of Counter-Economics. //More next disty...